

## Solutions of Qualifying Exams I, 2014 Spring

**1. (ALGEBRA)** Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements. Count the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree 12 over  $k$ .

**Solution.** Let  $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  act naturally on  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}$ . The set of monic irreducible polynomials of degree 12 are in one-to-one correspondence with the set of  $G$ -orbits of order 12 in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}$ . An orbit  $G\alpha$  has order 12 exactly when the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$  coincides with  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}$ , i.e., exactly when

$$\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{12}} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathbb{F}_q \leq K \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}} K$$

The maximal proper subfields of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{12}}$  are  $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ . By inclusion-exclusion principle, the number of the polynomials sought is equal to

$$\frac{q^{12} - q^6 - q^4 + q^2}{12}.$$

**2. (ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY)** (a) Show that the set of lines  $L \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$  may be identified with a quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$ .

(b) Let  $L_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$  be a given line. Show that the set of lines not meeting  $L_0$  is isomorphic to the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ .

**Solution.** (a) If  $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}V$  is the projective space of one-dimensional subspaces of a 4-dimensional vector space  $V$ , then we associate to the line  $L$  spanned by two vectors  $v, w \in V$  the wedge product  $v \wedge w \in \mathbb{P} \bigwedge^2 V \cong \mathbb{P}^5$ . Since a 2-form  $\eta \in \bigwedge^2 V$  is decomposable if and only if  $Q(\eta) = \eta \wedge \eta = 0 \in \bigwedge^4 V \cong \mathbb{C}$ , this identifies the set of lines with the zeroes of the quadratic form  $Q$ .

(b) Choose 2 planes  $\Lambda, \Lambda' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  containing  $L_0$ . Any line not meeting  $L_0$  is determined by its points of intersection with the two planes, giving an isomorphism between the set of lines not meeting  $L_0$  and

$$(\Lambda \setminus L_0) \times (\Lambda' \setminus L_0) \cong \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \cong \mathbb{A}^4.$$

**3. (COMPLEX ANALYSIS)** (a) Compute

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{z^{31}}{(2\bar{z}^2 + 3)^2 (\bar{z}^4 + 2)^3} dz$$

Note that the integrand is not a meromorphic function.

(b) Evaluate the integral

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^3 dx$$

by using the theory of residues. Justify carefully all the limiting processes in your computation.

**Solution.** (a) Since  $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{z}$  for  $|z| = 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|z|=1} \frac{z^{31}}{(2\bar{z}^2 + 3)^2 (\bar{z}^4 + 2)^3} dz \\ &= \int_{|z|=1} \frac{z^{31}}{\left(2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^2 + 3\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^4 + 2\right)^3} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Use the change of variables  $z = \frac{1}{w}$  to transform the integral to

$$- \int_{|w|=1} \frac{\frac{1}{w^{31}}}{(2w^2 + 3)^2 (w^4 + 2)^3} \left( -\frac{dw}{w^2} \right).$$

The negative sign in front of the integral comes from the change of orientation when the parametrization  $z = e^{i\theta}$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$  is transformed to the parametrization  $w = e^{-i\theta}$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . This new integral can be rewritten as

$$\int_{|w|=1} \frac{dw}{w^{33} (3 + 2w^2)^2 (2 + w^4)^3},$$

which is equal to  $2\pi i$  times the residue of the meromorphic function

$$\frac{1}{w^{33} (3 + 2w^2)^2 (2 + w^4)^3}$$

at  $w = 0$ . We have the power series expansion of the factor

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(3 + 2w^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}w^2\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)(-3) \cdots (-2 - k + 1)}{k!} \left(\frac{2}{3}w^2\right)^k \end{aligned}$$

at  $w = 0$  and the power series expansion of the factor

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(2 + w^4)^3} &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}w^4\right)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)(-4)\cdots(-3-\ell+1)}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}w^4\right)^\ell\end{aligned}$$

at  $w = 0$ . Contributions to the residue in question from the two power series expansions come from  $2k + 4\ell = 32$ , which means that  $k$  must be divisible by 2 and there are only 9 choices for  $\ell$  from 0 to 8 inclusively (with the corresponding value  $k = \frac{32-4\ell}{2} = 16 - 2\ell$ ). Hence the residue in question is equal to the following sum

$$\frac{1}{72} \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \frac{(-2)(-3)\cdots(-2-(16-2\ell)+1)}{(16-2\ell)!} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{16-2\ell} \frac{(-3)(-4)\cdots(-3-\ell+1)}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^\ell$$

of 9 terms. The final answer is that

$$\int_{|z|=1} \frac{z^{31}}{(2\bar{z}^2 + 3)^2 (\bar{z}^4 + 2)^3} dz$$

is equal to

$$\frac{2\pi i}{72} \sum_{\ell=0}^8 \frac{(-2)(-3)\cdots(-2-(16-2\ell)+1)}{(16-2\ell)!} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{16-2\ell} \frac{(-3)(-4)\cdots(-3-\ell+1)}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^\ell.$$

(b) By Euler's formula we have  $\sin x = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^3 x &= \left(\frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i}\right)^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{-8i} (e^{3ix} - 3e^{ix} + 3e^{-ix} - e^{-3ix}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(3 \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i} - \frac{e^{3ix} - e^{-3ix}}{2i}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sin^3 x$  is the imaginary part of

$$\frac{3}{4} e^{ix} - \frac{1}{4} e^{3ix}.$$

The power series expansion of

$$\frac{3}{4} e^{iz} - \frac{1}{4} e^{3iz}$$

is

$$\frac{3}{4} (1 + iz + O(z^2)) - \frac{1}{4} (1 + 3iz + O(z^2)) = \frac{1}{2} + O(z^2).$$

The  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination

$$\frac{3}{4} e^{iz} - \frac{1}{4} e^{3iz} - \frac{1}{2}$$

vanishes to order 2 at  $z = 0$  and its imaginary part for  $z = x$  real is equal to  $\sin^3 x$ . Let

$$f(z) = \frac{-\frac{1}{4} e^{3iz} + \frac{3}{4} e^{iz} - \frac{1}{2}}{z^3}.$$

Its behavior near  $z = 0$  is given by

$$f(z) = \frac{-\frac{1}{4} \frac{(3iz)^2}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{(iz)^2}{2} + O(z^3)}{z^3} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{z} + O(z^3)$$

and we have a simple pole for  $f$  at  $z = 0$  whose residue  $\text{Res}_0 f$  is  $\frac{3}{4}$ . Integrating

$$f(z) dz$$

over the boundary of the set which is equal to the upper half-disk of radius  $R > 0$  minus the upper half-disk of radius  $r$  with  $0 < r < R$  and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^3 dx = \text{Im}(\pi i \text{Res}_0 f) = \text{Im} \left( \pi i \frac{3}{4} \right) = \frac{3\pi}{4}$$

and

$$\int_{x=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^3 dx = \frac{3\pi}{8}.$$

To justify the limiting process, we have to show that the integral

$$\int_{C_R} f(z) dz$$

over the upper half-circle of radius  $R$  centered at the origin  $0$  approaches  $0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a consequence of the fact that both  $|e^{3iz}|$  and  $|e^{iz}|$  are  $\leq 1$  for  $\text{Im } z \geq 0$  so that

$$\left| \int_{C_R} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{R^3} \pi R \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

We need also to compute the integral

$$\int_{C_r} f(z) dz$$

over the upper half-circle of radius  $r$  centered at the origin  $0$  in the counter-clockwise sense as  $r \rightarrow 0+$ . This is done by using

$$f(z) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{z} + O(z^3)$$

and the parametrization  $z = re^{i\theta}$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_{C_r} f(z) dz &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \int_{C_r} \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{z} dz \\ &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{re^{i\theta}} ire^{i\theta} d\theta = \pi i \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

**4. (ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY)** Suppose that  $X$  is a finite connected CW complex such that  $\pi_1(X)$  is finite and nontrivial. Prove that the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  cannot be contractible. (*Hint:* Lefschetz fixed point theorem.)

**Solution.** Since  $X$  is a finite CW complex,  $\tilde{X}$  is also a finite CW complex. Suppose  $\tilde{X}$  is contractible. Then  $\tilde{X}$  has the same homology as a point, i.e.  $H_0(\tilde{X}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_i(\tilde{X}) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . Then by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem any continuous map  $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  has a fixed point. On the other hand, the group of covering transformations of  $\tilde{X}$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(X)$ , hence is nontrivial. Since a non-identity covering transformation does not have fixed points, we obtain a contradiction. Thus  $\tilde{X}$  cannot be contractible.

**5. (DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY)** Let  $\mathbb{P}^2 = (\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$ , which is called the complex projective plane.

1. Show that  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a complex manifold by writing down its local coordinate charts and transitions.
2. Define  $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^3$  to be the subset containing elements of the form  $([x], \lambda x)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Show that  $L$  is the total space of a holomorphic line bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by writing down its local trivializations and transitions. It is called the tautological line bundle.
3. Using the standard Hermitian metric on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  or otherwise, construct a Hermitian metric on the tautological line bundle. Express the metric in terms of local trivializations.

**Sketched Solution.**

1. The charts are  $\phi_0 : U_0 = \{[x, y, z] : z \neq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = V_0$  by  $[x, y, z] \mapsto (x/z, y/z)$ , and  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are defined similarly. The transition from  $V_0$  to  $V_1$  is  $(X, Y) \mapsto [X, Y, 1] \mapsto (1, Y/X, 1/X)$  for  $X \neq 0$ , and other transitions are computed in a similar way.
2. The local trivialization over  $U_0$  is  $([x, y, 1], \lambda(x, y, 1)) \mapsto ([x, y, 1], \lambda)$ , and that over  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are defined in a similar way. The transition over  $U_0 \cap U_1$  is

$$([x, y, 1], \lambda) \mapsto ([x, y, 1], \lambda(x, y, 1)) = ([1, y/x, 1/x], \lambda x(1, y/x, 1/x)) \mapsto ([x, y, 1], x\lambda).$$

The transition over  $U_{12}$  and  $U_{02}$  are similarly defined.

3. Define a metric by  $([x], \lambda x) \mapsto \|\lambda x\|$ . Over  $U_0$ , it is given by  $([x, y, 1], \lambda) \mapsto \|\lambda(x, y, 1)\|$ . It is similar for the other trivializations  $U_1, U_2$ .

**6. (REAL ANALYSIS)** (*Schwartz's Theorem on Perturbation of Surjective Maps by Compact Maps Between Hilbert Spaces*). Let  $E, F$  be Hilbert spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $S : E \rightarrow F$  be a compact  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map, and  $T : E \rightarrow F$  be a continuous surjective  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. Prove that the cokernel of  $S+T : E \rightarrow F$  is finite-dimensional and the image of  $S+T : E \rightarrow F$  is a closed subspace of  $F$ .

Here the compactness of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $S : E \rightarrow F$  means that for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $E$  with  $\|x_n\|_E \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $S(x_{n_k})$  converges in  $F$  to some element of  $F$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Hint:* Verify first that the conclusion is equivalent to the following equivalent statement for the adjoints  $T^*, S^* : F \rightarrow E$  of  $T, S$ . The kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  is finite-dimensional and the image of  $T^* + S^*$  is closed. Then prove the equivalent statement.

**Solution.** We prove first the equivalent statement for the adjoints  $T^*, S^* : F \rightarrow E$  for  $T, S$  and then at the end obtain from it the original statement for  $T, S : E \rightarrow F$ .

The adjoint  $S^*$  of the compact operator  $S$  is again compact (see *e.g.*, p.189 of Stein and Shakarchi's *Real Analysis*). Since  $T$  is surjective, by the open mapping theorem for Banach spaces and in particular for Hilbert spaces, the map  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is open. It implies that  $F$  is the quotient of  $E$  by the kernel of  $T$ . Thus  $T^*$  is the isometry between  $F$  and the orthogonal complement of the kernel of  $T$  in  $E$ , when a Hilbert space is naturally identified with its dual by using its inner product according to the Riesz representation theorem (see *e.g.*, Theorem 5.3 on p.182 of Stein and Shakarchi's *Real Analysis*).

Now we verify that the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  is finite-dimensional by showing that its closed unit ball is compact. Take a sequence of points  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  with  $\|y_n\|_F \leq 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $T^*y_n + S^*y_n = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $S^*$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $S^*y_{n_k} \rightarrow z$  in  $E$  for some  $z \in E$ . From  $T^*y_{n_k} \rightarrow -z$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the fact that  $T^*$  is the isometry between  $F$  and the orthogonal complement of kernel of  $T$  in  $E$ , it follows that  $y_{n_k}$  converges to the unique element  $\hat{z}$  in  $F$  such that  $T^*\hat{z} = -z$ . Since  $z = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S^*y_{n_k} = S^*\hat{z}$  and  $-z = T^*\hat{z}$ , it follows that  $T^*\hat{z} + S^*\hat{z} = 0$  and  $z$  is in the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$ . Thus the closed unit ball of the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  is compact. Since every locally compact Hilbert space is finite dimensional, it follows that the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  is finite-dimensional.

Now we verify that the image of  $T^* + S^*$  is closed. Suppose for some sequence of points  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $F$  we have the convergence of  $T^*y_n + S^*y_n$  in  $E$  to some element  $z$  in  $E$ . We have to show that  $z$  belongs to the image of  $T^* + S^*$ . By replacing  $y_n$  by its projection onto the orthogonal complement  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$  of the kernel of  $T^* + S^*$  in  $F$ , we can assume without loss of generality that each  $y_n$  belongs to  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$ .

We claim that the sequence of points  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$  is bounded in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$  of  $F$ , otherwise we can define  $\hat{y}_n = \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|_F}$  so

that  $T^*\hat{y}_n + S^*\hat{y}_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\|\hat{y}_n\|_F = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $S^*$  is compact, there is a subsequence  $\{\hat{y}_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{\hat{y}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $S^*\hat{y}_{n_k}$  converging to some element  $u$  in  $E$ . Thus

$$T^*\hat{y}_{n_k} = (T^*\hat{y}_{n_k} + S^*\hat{y}_{n_k}) - S^*\hat{y}_{n_k}$$

converges to the element  $-u$  in  $E$ . Since  $T^*$  is the isometry between  $F$  and the orthogonal complement of kernel of  $T$  in  $E$ , it follows that  $\hat{y}_{n_k}$  converges to the unique element  $v$  in  $F$  such that  $T^*v = -u$ . This means that  $(T^* + S^*)(v) = 0$  and  $v \in \text{Ker}(T^* + S^*)$ . On the other hand,  $v$  being the limit of the sequence  $\hat{y}_{n_k}$  in  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$  must be in  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$  also. Thus,  $v = 0$ , which contradicts the fact that it is the limit of  $\hat{y}_{n_k}$  with  $\|\hat{y}_{n_k}\|_F = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This finishes the proof of the claim that sequence of points  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$ .

Since the sequence of points  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $(\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*))^\perp$  is bounded in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$  of  $F$ , by the compactness of  $S^*$  we can select a a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $S^*y_{n_k}$  converging to some element  $w$  in  $E$ . Thus

$$T^*y_{n_k} = (T^*y_{n_k} + S^*y_{n_k}) - S^*y_{n_k}$$

converges to the element  $z - w$  in  $E$ . Since  $T^*$  is the isometry between  $F$  and the orthogonal complement of kernel of  $T$  in  $E$ , it follows that  $y_{n_k}$  converges to the unique element  $t$  in  $F$  such that  $T^*t = z - w$ . With  $w = S^*t = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S^*y_{n_k}$ , this implies that  $(T^* + S^*)(t) = z$ . This finishes the proof that the image of  $T^* + S^*$  is closed.

Since we now know that the image of  $T^* + S^*$  is closed, it follows from the Riesz representation theorem that the map  $S + T$  maps  $E$  onto the orthogonal complement  $\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*)^\perp$  of the kernel  $\text{Ker}(T^* + S^*)$  of  $T^* + S^*$  in  $F$ . Hence the image of  $T + S$  is closed and the cokernel of  $T + S$  is finite-dimensional.



## Solutions of Qualifying Exams II, 2014 Spring

1. (ALGEBRA) Let  $A$  be a finite group of order  $n$ , and let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be its irreducible representations.

(a) Show that the dimensions of the vector spaces  $V_i$  satisfy the equality  $\sum_{i=1}^k (\dim V_i)^2 = n$ .

(b) What are the dimensions of the irreducible representations of the symmetric group  $S_6$  of six elements.

**Solution.** (a) Use the character theory and show that  $V_i$  appears  $(\dim V_i)$  times in the regular representation  $\mathbb{C}[A]$ .

(b) Irreducible representations of  $S_6$  correspond to conjugacy classes in  $S_6$ , and then to partitions of 6, of which there are  $p(6) = 11$ . Then use the “hook-length formula”,

$$\dim V_\lambda = \frac{d!}{\prod(\text{hook lengths})}.$$

They are: 16, 10 (twice), 9 (twice), 5 (four times) and 1 (twice).

2. (ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY) Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth plane curve of degree  $\geq 3$ .

(a) Show that  $C$  admits a regular map  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $d - 1$ .

(b) Show that  $C$  does not admit a regular map  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $e$  with  $0 < e < d - 1$ .

**Solution.** (a) Solution: Simply project from any point  $p \in C$  to a complementary line.

(b) Since the canonical series of  $C$  is cut on  $C$  by plane curves of degree  $d - 3$ , by Riemann-Roch the general fiber of any map  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  of degree  $e$  must consist of  $e$  points of  $C$  that fail to impose independent conditions on curves of degree  $d - 3$ . But any set  $d - 2$  or fewer points in the plane impose independent conditions on curves of degree  $d - 3$ .

**3. (COMPLEX ANALYSIS)** Suppose that  $f$  is holomorphic in an open set containing the closed unit disk  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , except for a pole at  $z_0$  on the unit circle  $\{|z| = 1\}$ . Show that if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

denote the power series expansion of  $f$  in the open unit disk  $\{|z| < 1\}$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = z_0.$$

**Solution.** Since  $z_0$  is the only pole of the meromorphic function  $f$  on an open set containing the closed unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can express  $f(z)$  in the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{A_k}{(z - z_0)^k} + g(z)$$

with  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $m \geq 1$  and  $A_m = h(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $g(z)$  is a power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  with radius of convergence  $R > 1$ . For any positive number  $r$  with  $|z_0| < r < R$  we can find a positive number  $B$  such that

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{B}{r^n}$$

for all nonnegative integer  $n$ . By using the binomial expansion of  $\frac{1}{(z - z_0)^k}$  (or differentiating the geometric series  $\frac{1}{z - z_0}$  in  $z$   $(k - 1)$ -times) and noting that  $\binom{n+k-1}{k-1} = \binom{n+k-1}{n}$ , we have

$$a_n = b_n + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k A_k \frac{(n+k-1)(n+k-2) \cdots (n+2)(n+1)}{(k-1)! (z_0)^{n+k}}.$$

In the computation of the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}},$$

since  $A_m = h(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{r} < \left| \frac{1}{z_0} \right|$  and  $|b_n| \leq \frac{B}{r^n}$ , the dominant term from  $a_n$  is

$$(-1)^m A_m \frac{(n+m-1)(n+m-2) \cdots (n+2)(n+1)}{(m-1)! (z_0)^{n+m}}$$

and we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^m A_m \frac{(n+m-1)(n+m-2) \cdots (n+2)(n+1)}{(m-1)!(z_0)^{n+m}}}{(-1)^m A_m \frac{(n+m)(n+m-1) \cdots (n+3)(n+2)}{(m-1)!(z_0)^{n+1+m}}} = z_0.$$

The dominant term from  $a_n$  means that  $a_n$  minus the dominant term and then divided by the dominant term would have limit zero when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

4. (ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY) Show that if  $n > 1$ , then every map from the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  to the  $n$ -torus  $T^n$  is null-homotopic.

**Solution.** Recall that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\pi_1(T^n) = \pi_1(S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Now if  $f: \mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow T^n$  is any map, then the induced homomorphism

$$f_*: \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(T^n)$$

must be trivial because  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  has no nontrivial elements of finite order. Let  $p: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T^n$  be the standard covering map. Then, by the general lifting lemma, we obtain a continuous map  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is contractible, we obtain that  $\tilde{f}$  is nullhomotopic, from which it follows that  $f$  is nullhomotopic.

5. (DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY) Let  $\mathbb{S}^2 := \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the unit sphere in the Euclidean space. Let  $C = \{(r \cos t, r \sin t, h) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be a circle in  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , where  $r, h > 0$  are constants with  $r^2 + h^2 = 1$ .

1. Compute the holonomy of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  (with the standard induced metric) around the circle  $C$ .
2. By using Gauss-Bonnet theorem or otherwise, compute the total curvature

$$\int_D \kappa \, dA$$

where  $D = \mathbb{S}^2 \cap \{z \geq h\}$  is the disc bounded by the circle  $C$ , and  $dA$  is the area form of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Sketched Solution.**

1. The holonomy is rotation by  $2\pi h$ .
2. The total curvature is  $2\pi - 2\pi\sqrt{r^2 h^2 + (1 - r^2)^2}$ .

**6. (REAL ANALYSIS)** (*Commutation of Differentiation and Summation of Integrals*). Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $a < b$  be real numbers. For any positive integer  $n$  let  $f_n(x, y)$  be a complex-valued measurable function on  $\Omega \times (a, b)$ . Let  $a < c < b$ . Assume that the following three conditions are satisfied.

- (i) For each  $n$  and for almost all  $x \in \Omega$  the function  $f_n(x, y)$  as a function of  $y$  is absolutely continuous in  $y$  for  $y \in (a, b)$ .
- (ii) The function  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y)$  is measurable on  $\Omega \times (a, b)$  for each  $n$  and the function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right|$$

is integrable on  $\Omega \times (a, b)$ .

- (iii) The function  $f_n(x, c)$  is measurable on  $\Omega$  for each  $n$  and the function  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x, c)|$  is integrable on  $\Omega$ .

Prove that the function

$$y \mapsto \int_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, y) dx$$

is a well-defined function for almost all points  $y$  of  $(a, b)$  and that

$$\frac{d}{dy} \int_{x \in \Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, y) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dx$$

for almost all  $y \in (a, b)$ .

*Hint:* Use Fubini's theorem to exchange the order of integration and use convergence theorems for integrals of sequences of functions to exchange the order of summation and integration.

**Solution.** The theorem of Fubini which we will use states that if  $F(x, y)$  on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  (with  $\Omega_j$  open in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_j}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ) and if

$$\int_{(x,y) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} |F(x, y)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{x \in \Omega_1} \left( \int_{y \in \Omega_2} F(x, y) dy \right) dx = \int_{y \in \Omega_2} \left( \int_{x \in \Omega_1} F(x, y) dx \right) dy.$$

One consequence of the theorem of dominated convergence which we will use is the following exchange of integration and summation. If  $F_n(x)$  is a sequence of measurable functions on an open subset  $\tilde{\Omega}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\int_{x \in \tilde{\Omega}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |F_n(x)| < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{x \in \tilde{\Omega}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \tilde{\Omega}} F_n(x).$$

These two results make it possible for us to both exchange the order of integration and the order of summation and integration in the following equation for  $a < \eta < b$ ,

$$\int_{y=c}^{\eta} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dx \right) dy = \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{y=c}^{\eta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dy \right) dx, \quad (\dagger)$$

because the function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right|$$

is integrable on  $\Omega \times (a, b)$ . Since for almost all  $x \in \Omega$  the function  $f_n(x, y)$  as a function of  $y$  is absolutely continuous in  $y$ , it follows that

$$\int_{y=c}^{\eta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dy = f_n(x, \eta) - f_n(x, c)$$

for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ , which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{y=c}^{\eta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dy \right) dx &= \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(x, \eta) - f_n(x, c)) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, \eta) \right) dx - \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, c) \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x, c)|$  is integrable on  $\Omega$ . Putting this together with (†) yields

$$\text{(‡)} \quad \int_{y=c}^{\eta} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dx \right) dy = \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, \eta) \right) dx - \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, c) \right) dx.$$

Differentiating both sides of (‡) with respect to  $\eta$  and applying the fundamental theorem of calculus in the theory of Lebesgue and then replacing  $\eta$  by  $y$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_n(x, y) \right) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x, y) \right) dx$$

for almost all  $y \in (a, b)$ .

### Solutions of Qualifying Exams III, 2014 Spring

**1. (ALGEBRA)** Prove or disprove: There exists a prime number  $p$  such that the principal ideal  $(p)$  in the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  is a prime ideal.

**Solution.** If there were, the decomposition group and the inertia group at  $(p)$  would be isomorphic to the whole  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$  and to the trivial group, respectively, and the quotient would not be cyclic.

**2. (ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY)** Let  $\Gamma = \{p_1, \dots, p_5\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a configuration of 5 points in the plane.

- (a) What is the smallest Hilbert function  $\Gamma$  can have?
- (b) What is the largest Hilbert function  $\Gamma$  can have?
- (c) Find all the Hilbert functions  $\Gamma$  can have.

**Solution.** (a) The smallest Hilbert function  $\Gamma$  can have occurs if  $\Gamma$  consists of 5 collinear points; the Hilbert function in this case is

$$(h_\Gamma(0), h_\Gamma(1), h_\Gamma(2), \dots) = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, \dots).$$

(b) The largest Hilbert function  $\Gamma$  can have occurs if  $\Gamma$  consists of 5 general points; the Hilbert function in this case is  $(1, 3, 5, 5, \dots)$ .

(c) The only other Hilbert function  $\Gamma$  can have occurs when  $\Gamma$  consists of four collinear points and one point not collinear with those; the Hilbert function in this case is  $(1, 3, 4, 5, 5, \dots)$ .

**3. (COMPLEX ANALYSIS)** (*Cauchy's Integral Formula for Smooth Functions and Solution of  $\bar{\partial}$  Equation*). (a) Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $f$  be a  $C^\infty$  complex-valued function on some open neighborhood  $U$  of the topological closure  $\bar{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(i) Show that for  $a \in \Omega$ ,

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)dz}{z-a} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{z-a},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

with  $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$  and  $x, y$  real.

(ii) Show that  $a \in \Omega$ ,

$$f(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)d\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \bar{a}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \bar{a}},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right).$$

(iii) For  $z \in \Omega$  define

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\zeta \in \Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}.$$

Show that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) = f(z)$  on  $\Omega$ .

*Hint:* For (i), apply Stokes's theorem to  $d\left(f(z)\frac{dz}{z-a}\right)$  on  $\Omega$  minus a closed disk of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered at  $a$  and then let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

For the proof of (iii), for any fixed  $z \in \Omega$ , apply Stokes's theorem to  $d\left(f(\zeta) \log|\zeta - z|d\bar{\zeta}\right)$  (with variable  $\zeta$ ) on  $\Omega$  minus a closed disk of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered at  $z$  and then let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then apply  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$  and use (ii).

(b) Let  $\mathbb{D}_r$  be the open disk of radius  $r > 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  centered at 0. Prove that for any  $C^\infty$  complex-valued function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1$  there exists some  $C^\infty$  complex-valued function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1$  such that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}} = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .

*Hint:* First use (a)(iii) to show that for  $0 < r < 1$  there exists some  $C^\infty$  complex-valued function  $h_r$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1$  such that  $\frac{\partial h_r}{\partial \bar{z}} = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_r$ . Then use some approximation and limiting process to construct  $h$ .

**Solution.** (a) Take an arbitrary positive number  $\varepsilon$  less than the distance from  $a$  to the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Let  $B_\varepsilon$  be the closed disk of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered at  $a$ . Application of Stokes's theorem to

$$d\left(f(z)\frac{dz}{z-a}\right) = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{z-a}$$

on  $\Omega - B_\varepsilon$  yields

$$\int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{z-a} = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(z)\frac{dz}{z-a} - \int_{|z-a|=\varepsilon} f(z)\frac{dz}{z-a}.$$



We use the parametrization  $z = a + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$  to evaluate the last integral and use  $f(a + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) - f(a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  from the continuous differentiability of  $f$  at 0 to conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|z-a|=\varepsilon} f(z) \frac{dz}{z-a} = 2\pi i f(a).$$

Hence

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \frac{f(z) dz}{z-a} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

This finishes the proof of the formula in (i). For the proof of the formula in (ii) we apply (i) to  $\overline{f(z)}$  to get

$$\overline{f(a)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \frac{\overline{f(z)} dz}{z-a} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial \bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

and then we take the complex-conjugates of both sides to get

$$f(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \frac{f(z) d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

which is the formula in (ii).

For the proof of (iii) we apply Stokes's theorem to

$$d(f(\zeta) \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\bar{\zeta}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} + \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}$$

on  $\Omega - B_\varepsilon$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} + \int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\zeta) \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\bar{\zeta} - \int_{|z-a|=\varepsilon} f(\zeta) \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\bar{\zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

With its evaluation by the parametrization  $z = a + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}$ , the last integral

$$\int_{|z-a|=\varepsilon} f(\zeta) \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\bar{\zeta}$$

approaches 0 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$  so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} + \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\zeta) \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\bar{\zeta}.$$

We apply  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$  to both sides and separately justify the commutation of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$  with integration and the commutation of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  with integration, because on the right-hand sides of the following two formulae both integrals over  $\Omega$  after differentiation are absolutely convergent.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log |\zeta - z|^2 \right) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \log |\zeta - z|^2 d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \log |\zeta - z|^2 \right) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}.$$

We get

$$-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\bar{\zeta}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}},$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\bar{\zeta}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}},$$

which by the formula in (ii) is equal to  $f(z)$ . This finishes the proof of the formula in (iii).

For use in (b) we also observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z} \right)$$

is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . By induction on  $k$  and by applying the argument to  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta}$  on a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $U$  in going from the

$k$ -th step to the  $(k+1)$ -st step in the induction process, we conclude that all the  $k$ -th partial derivatives of

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}$$

with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  (*i.e.*, with respect to  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$ ) are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\Omega$ . Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}$$

is  $C^\infty$  on  $\Omega$  as a function of  $z$ .

(b) Choose  $r_n = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ . We can set

$$h_{r_n}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{n+1}}} \frac{g(\zeta)d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}}{\zeta - z}$$

on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_n}$  to get  $\partial_{\bar{z}}h_{r_n} = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_n}$  from (a)(iii). As observed above,  $h_{r_n}(z)$  is an infinitely differentiable function on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_n}$ .

We now look at the approximation and limiting process to construct  $h$  on all of  $\mathbb{D}_1$  such that  $\partial_{\bar{z}}h = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .

For  $n \geq 3$  the function  $h_{r_n} - h_{r_{n-1}}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{n-1}}$ . By using the Taylor polynomial  $P_n$  of  $h_{r_n} - h_{r_{n-1}}$  centered at 0 of degree  $N_n$  for  $N_n$  sufficiently large, we have

$$|(h_{r_n} - h_{r_{n-1}}) - P_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{n-2}}$ . Let  $\hat{h}_{r_n} = h_{r_n} - \sum_{k=3}^n P_k$  on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_n}$ . Then for any  $n > k \geq 3$  from

$$\hat{h}_{r_n} - \hat{h}_{r_k} = \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n \left( \hat{h}_{r_\ell} - \hat{h}_{r_{\ell-1}} \right) = \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n (h_{r_\ell} - h_{r_{\ell-1}} - P_\ell)$$

it follows that

$$\left| \hat{h}_{r_n} - \hat{h}_{r_k} \right| \leq \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n |h_{r_\ell} - h_{r_{\ell-1}} - P_\ell| \leq \sum_{\ell=k+1}^n \frac{1}{2^\ell} \leq \frac{1}{2^k}$$

on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$ . Thus, for any fixed  $k \geq 3$  the sequence  $\{h_{r_n} - h_{r_k}\}_{n=k+1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$  and we can define  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{r_n}$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}} = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ , because  $h - h_{r_k}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$  and  $\frac{\partial h_{r_{k-1}}}{\partial \bar{z}} = g$  on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$ . Since  $\hat{h}_{r_n}$  is infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$ , it follows that  $h$  is infinitely differentiable on each  $\mathbb{D}_{r_{k-1}}$  and hence is infinitely differentiable on all of  $\mathbb{D}_1$ .

**4. (ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY)** Suppose that  $X$  is contractible and that some point  $a$  of  $X$  has a neighborhood homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Prove that  $H_n(X \setminus \{a\}) \simeq H_n(S^{k-1})$  for all  $n$ .

**Solution.** We have the following piece of the long exact homology sequence:

$$H_k(X) \rightarrow H_k(X, X \setminus \{a\}) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(X \setminus \{a\}) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(X).$$

Now for  $k > 1$ , the outer two groups are 0, hence

$$H_k(X, X \setminus \{a\}) \simeq H_{k-1}(X \setminus \{a\}).$$

Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $a$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $C = X \setminus U$ . Then  $C \subset X \setminus \{a\}$ , which is open. Hence, by excision,

$$H_k(X, X \setminus \{a\}) \simeq H_k(U, U \setminus \{a\}) \simeq H_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{a\}).$$

On the other hand, we have the same piece of exact sequence of  $(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{a\}) : \psi(x, y) = (x, y)$  when  $y < 0$ , and

$$H_k(\mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow H_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{a\}) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{a\}) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^m),$$

and the outer two groups are 0 for  $k > 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{a\}$  deformation retracts onto  $S^{m-1}$ , putting everything together we obtain that for  $k > 1$ ,  $H_k(S^{m-1}) \simeq H_k(X \setminus \{a\})$ .

**5. (DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY)** Let  $U_+ = \mathbb{R}^2 - (\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} \times \{0\})$ ,  $U_- = \mathbb{R}^2 - (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\})$ , and  $U_0 = \mathbb{R}^2 - (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$ . Let  $B$  be obtained by gluing  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  over  $U_0$  by the map  $\psi : U_0 \rightarrow U_0$  defined by

$$\psi(x, y) = (x, y)$$

when  $y < 0$ , and

$$\psi(x, y) = (x + y, y)$$

when  $y > 0$ .

1. Show that  $B$  is a manifold.
2. Show that the trivial connections on the tangent bundles of  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  glue together and give a global connection on the tangent bundle  $TB$ . Compute the curvature of this connection.
3. Compute the holonomy of the above connection around the loop  $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow B$  determined by  $\gamma|_{U_+}(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  for  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ .

**Sketched Solution.**

1.  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  already serve as charts of  $B$ , and the transition between them is affine.
2. Since the transition is affine, the differential  $d$  is preserved by the transition. The curvature is just zero.
3. The holonomy is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**6. (REAL ANALYSIS)** (*Bernstein's Theorem on Approximation of Continuous Functions by Polynomials*). Use the probabilistic argument outlined in the two steps below to prove the following theorem of Bernstein. Let  $f$  be a real-valued continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . For any positive integer  $n$  let

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{j=0}^n f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j}$$

be the *Bernstein polynomial*. Then  $B_n(f; x)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Step One.* For  $0 < x < 1$  consider the binomial distribution

$$b(n, x, j) = \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j}$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , which is the probability of getting  $j$  heads and  $n - j$  tails in tossing a coin  $n$  times if the probability of getting a head is  $x$ . Verify that the mean  $\mu$  of this probability distribution is  $nx$  and its standard deviation  $\sigma$  is  $\sqrt{nx(1-x)}$ .

*Step Two.* Let  $X$  be the random variable which assumes the value  $j$  with probability  $b(n, x, j)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Consider the random variable  $Y = |f(x) - f(\frac{X}{n})|$  which assumes the value  $|f(x) - f(\frac{j}{n})|$  with probability  $b(n, x, j)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Prove Bernstein's theorem by bounding, for an arbitrary positive number  $\varepsilon$ , the sum which defines the expected value  $E(Y)$  of the random variable  $Y$ , after breaking the sum up into two parts defined respectively by  $|j - \mu| \geq \eta\sigma$  and  $|j - \mu| < \eta\sigma$  for some appropriate positive number  $\eta$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  and the uniform bound of  $f$ .

**Solution.** *Step One.* From

$$j \binom{n}{j} = n \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-j+1)}{(j-1)!} = n \binom{n-1}{j-1}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \sum_{j=0}^n j b(n, x, j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n j \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{j-1} x x^{j-1} (1-x)^{n-j} \\ &= nx (x + (1-x))^{n-1} \\ &= nx. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$j(j-1) \binom{n}{j} = n(j-1) \binom{n-1}{j-1} = n(n-1) \binom{n-2}{j-2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E(X(X-1)) &= \sum_{j=0}^n j(j-1)b(n,x,j) = \sum_{j=0}^n j(j-1) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^n n(n-1)x^2 \binom{n-2}{j-2} x^{j-2} (1-x)^{n-j} \\
&= n(n-1)x^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-2-j} \\
&= n(n-1)x^2 (1+(1-x))^{n-2} \\
&= n(n-1)x^2
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^2 &= E((X-\mu)^2) \\
&= E(X^2) - 2\mu E(X) + \mu^2 \\
&= E(X^2) - \mu^2 \\
&= n(n-1)x^2 + nx - (nx)^2 \\
&= nx((n-1)x + 1 - nx) \\
&= nx(1-x).
\end{aligned}$$

and  $\sigma = \sqrt{nx(1-x)}$ .

*Step Two.* Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the uniform continuity of  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$  there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \varepsilon$  for  $|x_1 - x_2| < \delta$ . Choose a positive number  $\eta$  sufficiently large so that

$$\frac{1}{\eta^2} 2 \sup_{[0,1]} |f| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and then choose a positive  $N$  with

$$\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}} < \delta.$$

We are going to prove that  $|f - B_n(f; x)| < \varepsilon$  on  $[0, 1]$  for  $n \geq N$  by bounding the sum which defines the expected value  $E(Y)$  of the random variable  $Y$ , after breaking the sum up into two parts defined respectively by  $|j - \mu| \geq \eta\sigma$  and  $|j - \mu| < \eta\sigma$ .

First of all, for any fixed  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(x) - B_n(f; x)| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \left( f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \left( f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right) b(n, x, j) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the expected value  $E(Y)$  of the random variable  $Y$ , because

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^n f(x) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} &= f(x) \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} \\
&= f(x) (x + (1-x))^n = f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

For the estimation of the part

$$\sum_{|j-nx| < \eta\sigma} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j)$$

of the sum

$$E(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j)$$

we have

$$\left| x - \frac{j}{n} \right| < \frac{\eta\sigma}{n} = \frac{\eta\sqrt{nx(1-x)}}{n} \leq \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{N}} < \delta,$$

which implies that  $|f(x) - f(\frac{j}{n})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  so that

$$\sum_{|j-nx| < \eta\sigma} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} b(n, x, j) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For the estimation of the part

$$\sum_{|j-nx| \geq \eta\sigma} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j)$$



of the sum

$$E(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j)$$

we use Chebyshev's inequality that in any probability distribution no more than  $\frac{1}{\eta^2}$  of the distribution's values can be no less than  $\eta$  standard deviations away from the mean, which, when applied to our random variable  $X$  with mean  $\mu = nx$ , means that

$$\sum_{|j-nx| \geq \eta\sigma} b(n, x, j) \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{|j-nx| \geq \eta\sigma} \left| f(x) - f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \right| b(n, x, j) \leq \left( 2 \sup_{[0,1]} |f| \right) \frac{1}{\eta^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This finishes the verification that

$$|f(x) - B_n(f; x)| < \varepsilon$$

for  $n \geq N$  and thus the proof of Bernstein's theorem.